

RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes

Date: June 5, 2017

Time: 1:30 – 2:30 pm

Place: Conference Room A324

Members present: Chair: Jeff Burgess, Cyndi Thomson, Scott Carvajal, David Marrero (via phone), Doug Taren, Karen Grinfeld, Jamie Boehmer, (Admin: Suzanna Trejo) (**Absent:** Zhao Chen, Rachele Peterson)

Presenter: Kate Ellingson

1. Presentation of AHRQ – R18 AIMS page

- a. Work will focus on:
 - i. Reducing transmission of resistant bacteria
 - ii. Stewardship
 - iii. Long term care
 - iv. Antibiotic resistance to a public health emergency
 - v. Step-wedge protocol implementation
 - vi. These encompass Aim 1, Aim 2, and Aim 3 of the project

2. Comments from Committee

- a. Jeff
 - i. Take one slide to focus on significance
 - ii. Focus methods more on approach to the project
 - iii. Previous interventions was with UTI's
 - 1. Idea preferred to Aim 2 of the project
- b. David
 - i. Aim 2A: Focus on process of data collection
 - ii. Aim 2B: Implement the right protocol
 - 1. Jeff also commented on importance of these two Aims
- c. Doug
 - i. Need to shorten the 1st couple paragraphs @ beginning of Aims
 - ii. Clinicians prescribing the drugs
 - 1. Who are they?
 - 2. Where are they from?
 - 3. How long have they been prescribing?
- d. David
 - i. Aim 1: How to negotiate a protocol
 - ii. Aim 2: Build a system to collect data
 - iii. Aim 3: How well can it be sustained given the changing environment?
- e. Cyndi
 - i. Focus on RFP – what can you focus on
 - ii. Problem?
 - iii. Pilot Data?
 - iv. What's the gap?
- f. Jeff/Davis
 - i. Turn Aim 1 into Aim 2

RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Take UTI, use 1 LTCF that is doing well
- g. Cyndi
 - i. Go from efficiency to effectiveness
- h. Jeff
 - i. Who is on board with the project?
 1. Program development
 2. Statistician, etc.
- i. David
 - i. What's the gap?
 1. Have a solid nuclear protocol
3. Discussion of Scored Grant vs. a Reviewed Grant
 - a. Primary vs. Secondary?
 - b. Presenter submits AIMS page and presentation 1 week before meeting
 - c. Ned to have a scored grant?
 - i. Helps with AIMS
 - d. Committee needs a couple of days to 1 week before meeting to review
 - e. Research office does not have the expertise to comment on certain portions of the grant
 - i. Good for initial participation

ACTION ITEMS:

1. Suzanna – send out possible dates for regular monthly meeting time and dates

REMINDER: *** Next meeting: August 17, 2017