

# Development of Public Health Abstracts for Acceptance at Scientific Conferences

**Edward C. Weiss, MD, MPH, CMQ**  
CEFO Supervisor

American College of Preventive Medicine Webinar  
September 17, 2015



Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response  
Division of State and Local Readiness

# Importance

## ❑ First Impression

- Format is your appearance
- Style is your fresh breath
- Content is your mind
- Conclusion is your soul

## ❑ Only thing the reviewer reads

- ❑ Might influence reader to attend your presentation or visit your poster
- ❑ Must include enough key information

# Challenges

- ❑ Present complex information
- ❑ Be clear and concise
- ❑ Balance art versus science
- ❑ Tell a coherent story

## General Concepts

- ❑ Follow format requirements
- ❑ Choose simple ways to explain complicated ideas
- ❑ Structure logically
- ❑ Provide accurate picture of your presentation
- ❑ Try to include enough information but not too much
- ❑ Give yourself enough time
- ❑ Pay attention to deadlines for submission

## Things to Avoid

- ❑ Frequent acronyms and abbreviations
- ❑ Jargon
- ❑ Categorical and eccentric statements
- ❑ Historical or lengthy background
- ❑ References
- ❑ Elliptical (i.e. ending with ...)
- ❑ Incomplete sentences
- ❑ Tables, charts, illustrations, or figures
- ❑ Citations

# Formal Characteristics

- ❑ **Stand alone document**
- ❑ **Structured versus unstructured**
- ❑ **Tense**
  - Usually past tense for objectives, methods, and results
  - Sometimes present tense for introduction and discussion
- ❑ **Person**
  - Third person (he, she, it) was standard
  - First person (I, we) now more accepted
- ❑ **Active voice when possible**
- ❑ **Review conference abstracts and editorial policies**

# Examples of Voice

## □ Passive voice

- Fifteen patients with asthma were studied
- An outbreak of salmonella was investigated

## □ Active voice

- We studied 15 patients with asthma
- We investigated an outbreak of salmonella

# Title

- ❑ Concise
- ❑ Grabs your attention
- ❑ Summarizes the content of the abstract
- ❑ Conveys context and aims of study
- ❑ Most effective when refers to SOCO
- ❑ Scope, study design, and goal
- ❑ Usually capitalize first letter of each word



## Common Mistakes—Title

- ❑ States results or conclusions
- ❑ Difficult to understand
- ❑ Contains jargon or unfamiliar acronyms
- ❑ Contains nonspecific phrases “a study of...” “an investigation into”
- ❑ Contains plays on words or deliberately provocative expressions
  - Might catch readers attention
  - Might appear to trivialize the work being reported

## Examples — Title

- ❑ Reducing the Word Count Doubles the Rate of Conference Abstract Acceptance

## Examples — Title

- ❑ Reducing the Word Count Doubles the Rate of Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ Quality Writing Improves Conference Abstract Acceptance

## Examples — Title

- ❑ Reducing the Word Count Doubles the Rate of Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ Quality Writing Improves Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ How to Write an Abstract the Right Way

## Examples — Title

- ❑ Reducing the Word Count Doubles the Rate of Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ Quality Writing Improves Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ How to Write an Abstract the Right Way
- ❑ Discourse Analysis of JU in PH Reveals Abstracts Get a Bum Rap

## Examples — Title

- ❑ Reducing the Word Count Doubles the Rate of Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ Quality Writing Improves Conference Abstract Acceptance
- ❑ How to Write an Abstract the Right Way
- ❑ Discourse Analysis of JU in PH Reveals Abstracts Get a Bum Rap
- ❑ **Development of Public Health Abstracts for Acceptance at Scientific Conferences**

## Content Areas

- ❑ Background/ Introduction (2–3 sentences)
- ❑ Methods
- ❑ Results
- ❑ Discussion/ Conclusion (1–2 sentences)

## Content Areas

- ❑ Why did you do it? Why did you start?
- ❑ What did you do? How did you do it?
- ❑ What did you find?
- ❑ What does it mean? Why is it important?



# Background

- ❑ Why did you do it? Why did you start?
- ❑ Background or motivation (1 sentence)
- ❑ Significance to public health (1 sentence)
- ❑ Objectives (1 sentence)
  - Simple, clear, direct statement
- ❑ Scope
  - If complex or complicated
  - Let reader know you are limiting your scope

## Example Background

- Nearly two thirds of public health abstracts are rejected each year.

## Example Background

- ❑ Nearly two thirds of public health abstracts are rejected each year.
- ❑ As a result, communication of important public health findings is delayed.

## Example Background

- ❑ Nearly two thirds of public health abstracts are rejected each year.
- ❑ As a result, communication of important public health findings is delayed.
- ❑ We investigated the predictors of abstract rejection in adults attending an abstract writing workshop.

## Common Mistakes—Background

- ❑ No clear statement of objectives
- ❑ Unclear why you did the study
- ❑ Unclear why the study is important
- ❑ Contains methods, results, or conclusions

# Methods

- ❑ **What did you do? How did you do it?**
- ❑ **Study design**
- ❑ **Data were collected**
  - Where
  - When (dates)
  - How
  - What
- ❑ **Subjects were selected**
  - Who
  - How

# Methods

- ❑ Case definition (if applicable)
  
- ❑ Other definitions
  - Exposure
  - Outcome
  - All other unfamiliar terms
  
- ❑ Statistical analyses or tests performed

## Common Mistakes — Methods

- ❑ Unclear what you did
- ❑ Unclear how you did it
- ❑ **Methods are non-specific**
  - “We collected data”
  - “We sampled the population”
  - “We performed statistical analyses”
  - “Cases were identified”
- ❑ **No statistical methods provided**
- ❑ **Methods missing for results presented**



# Results

- ❑ What did you find?
- ❑ Logical flow from descriptive to analytic
- ❑ Numbers
- ❑ Observations
- ❑ Accomplishments
- ❑ Measures of association
- ❑  $P$  values or confidence intervals
- ❑ Results that pertain to objectives
- ❑ Data to support your conclusions

## Common Mistakes—Results

- ❑ **Results lack numbers**
  - “The findings will be presented”
  - “We found treatment A to be superior to B”
- ❑ **Results do not pertain to objectives**
- ❑ **Results presented as almost significant**
- ❑ **Statistical analysis inadequate or missing**
- ❑ **Results missing for methods**
- ❑ **Not enough results provided**
- ❑ **No results to support conclusions**
- ❑ **Results contain conclusions or interpretation**

## Conclusion

- ❑ So what?
- ❑ Why are the results important?
- ❑ What do you think they mean?
- ❑ Did you accomplish your objectives?
- ❑ Are the results consistent with expectations?
- ❑ Why should anyone be excited or interested?
- ❑ Should be supported by your results

## Common Mistakes— Conclusion

- ❑ Unclear why it's important
- ❑ No interpretation of findings
- ❑ Relate to method used rather than results
- ❑ Based upon literature rather than results
- ❑ “More research is needed”
- ❑ Conclusions generalized beyond study restrictions
- ❑ Not supported by the findings of your study

# Steps in Abstract Writing

## □ Step 1: Do good science

- Start with a study that has clear purpose
- Don't go on a "fishing expedition"
- Work with your coauthors and supervisors to understand the purpose and objectives

## □ Step 2: Read the instructions

- Follow abstract guidelines/ instructions for authors
- Pay attention to length and structure

## Steps in Abstract Writing

- ❑ **Step 3: Think carefully about your Single Overriding Communication Objectives (SOCOs)**
  - Consider your audience
  - Provide data to support your SOCO
  
- ❑ **Step 4: Write a first draft**
  - Don't worry about word count at this stage
  - Make sure to give a clear idea of what you did
  - Include all information you think is important

# Steps in Abstract Writing

- **Step 5: Give it to your supervisor or coauthors**
  - Expect a lot of changes
  - Focus in further on key concepts
  
- **Step 6: Check it for length**
  - If >30 words over, remove entire concepts
  - If 15–30 words over, remove sentences
  - If <15 words over, try rewording, removing unnecessary phrases, and piling on adjectives
  - Make sure to preserve key concepts

## Examples of Rewording

- ❑ “In order to develop an intervention, we performed a survey of adults regarding their attitudes about seat belt use. The survey was conducted by telephone using random digit dialing techniques.” (30 words)



## Examples of Rewording

- ❑ “In order to develop an intervention, we performed a survey of adults regarding their attitudes about seat belt use. The survey was conducted by telephone using random digit dialing techniques.” (30 words)
- ❑ “To develop an intervention, we performed a random-digit–dialing telephone survey of adult seat belt use attitudes.” (16 words)

## Rewording of Phrases

- ❑ In close proximity to
- ❑ The predominant number of
- ❑ In a large number of cases
- ❑ On a previous occasion
- ❑ In the absence of
- ❑ With regard to
- ❑ At some future time
- ❑ Due to the fact that
- ❑ Serves the function of being

## Steps in Abstract Writing

- ❑ **Step 7: Give back to supervisor and coauthors**
  
- ❑ **Step 8: If for a general meeting, have a colleague in a different field review**
  - What you did
  - Why you did it
  - Why it's important

# Steps in Abstract Writing

## □ Step 9: Final checks

- Errors call into question quality of science
- Spell check
- Grammatically correct
- Avoid unclear or unconventional abbreviations
- Rate your own abstract

## Example Abstract Evaluation Criteria

- ❑ Background and rationale for the study
- ❑ Appropriateness of the methods
- ❑ Presentation of results
- ❑ Interpretation of results and conclusions
- ❑ Significance to public health
- ❑ Overall clarity

# Avoiding Rejection

- ❑ Usually not because of quality of science
- ❑ Most commonly unclear
  - Why you did study in the first place
  - What you did
  - Why the results are important
- ❑ Make sure you
  - Have clearly defined hypotheses/ objectives
  - Think carefully about the SOCOs
  - Communicate clearly in your background and conclusions

# Keys to Success

## ❑ Why did you do the study

- Lots of cases
- Rising incidence
- Emerging problem
- Increased public concern

## ❑ Provide good conclusions

- Avoid more research is needed
- What do your results mean?
- Why are your findings important?
- What can you conclude/ recommend based on your results?

**How does writing a manuscript differ from writing an abstract?**

**What are some additional things to consider when writing a manuscript?**



# Acknowledgments

## CDC

- **Randolph Daley**
- **Kay Smith-Akin**
- **Nancy Binkin**
- **Diana Bensyl**
- **Julie Magri**
- **Sheryl Lyss**
- **Kris Bisgard**
- **Paul Siegel**

## CSTE

- **Pat  
McConnon**
- **LaKesha  
Robinson**

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

